

REMARKS

Claims 63, 64-68, 75-77, 79-81, 83, 86-92, 120 and 121 are currently pending, of which claims 63, 120 and 121 are in independent form.

By way of this response, claims 63, 64, 83, 87-89, 91, 120 and 121 have been amended.

Claims 1-62, 69-74, 78, 82, 84-85 and 93-119 stand cancelled without prejudice, limitation, waiver or estoppel.

Favorable reconsideration of the present application as currently constituted is respectfully requested.

Regarding the Double Patenting Rejections

In the pending Office Action, various double patenting rejections continue to be maintained over U.S. Patent No. 6,701,378 as well as over co-pending U.S. Patent Application No. 09/928,983 and co-pending U.S. Patent Application No. 10/671,162. It is believed that the pending double patenting rejections have been overcome or otherwise rendered moot by the present claim amendments. At any rate, without acquiescing in the asserted double patenting rejections, Applicant respectfully submits that Applicant is willing to file applicable terminal disclaimers in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.321, if necessary, once allowable

subject matter in the present patent application is identified. Accordingly, it is requested that the pending double patenting rejections be held in abeyance.

Regarding the Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §112

Applicant appreciates the comments provided in the instant Office Action with respect to the rejection of claims 78, 87 and 88 under 35 U.S.C. §112, 2nd Paragraph. Responsive thereto, Applicant has appropriately amended the claims by way of the present response. It is therefore believed that the pending §112 rejections have been overcome.

Regarding the Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §103

In the pending Office Action, claims 63-74, 76-82, 86, 89-91, 93, 94, 97, 101, 113-118, 120 and 121 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over AirMobile Communication Server Guide ("AirMobile Software for Lotus cc:Mail Wireless," Motorola Publication, 1995, hereinafter "AirMobile Server") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,636,965 to Beyda et al. (hereinafter the *Beyda* reference). Additionally, various remaining dependent claims stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over a number of art combinations: claims 83-85, 87, 88, 95, 98-100 and

119 over the *AirMobile Server* and *Beyda* references in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,745,230 to Cao et al. (hereinafter the *Cao* reference); claim 75 over the *AirMobile Server* and *Beyda* references in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,138,146 to Moon et al. (hereinafter the *Moon* reference); and claim 96 over the *AirMobile Server*, *Beyda* and *Cao* references in view of the *Moon* reference.

Applicant respectfully submits that the foregoing §103(a) rejections have been overcome or otherwise rendered moot by the present amendment. As currently constituted, the embodiments of the present disclosure are directed to providing a common address scheme with respect to reply messages within a message redirection architecture that involves a wireless redirector host system. Because of the common address scheme, when a sender sends a data message for a user served by a messaging host system, the sender is capable of receiving a reply message that appears as though it originated from the user's email address associated with the messaging host system rather than the user's wireless mobile communication device even where the data message was redirected to the wireless mobile communication device and the reply message was generated thereat. Base claim 63 is directed to an embodiment of a method of redirecting data messages between a messaging host system and a wireless mobile communication device that involves,

inter alia, receiving a data message from a sender at the messaging host system for the user with a first address at the messaging host system, redirecting a copy of the data message to the user's wireless mobile communication device by way of a wireless redirector host system, receiving a reply message from the wireless mobile communication device that is responsive to the data message, and causing the reply message to be transmitted to the sender of the data message wherein the user's first address is configured as the reply message's originating address. As currently amended, base claims 120 and 121 also include substantially similar features.

Applicant notes that the *AirMobile* reference continues to be relied on as the primary reference for purposes of the pending §103(a) rejections. As argued previously, *AirMobile* is limited to a "server push" message delivery model (see pages 25-27 of the *AirMobile* reference, under subsection heading "Messaging Models" on page 25) which requires that the communication server (i.e., Comm Server in Figure 1-1) be resident on the same local area network as the mail server (i.e., cc:Mail Post Office). Additionally, the *AirMobile* reference is deficient with respect to the limitations relating to the common address scheme as currently claimed. In particular, *AirMobile* does not teach or suggest the feature of causing a reply message generated at the mobile communication

device to be transmitted to the sender of a message wherein the user's first address (i.e., the email address associated with the mail server) is configured as the reply message's originating address.

The foregoing deficiencies of *AirMobile* are not cured, however, by the various secondary and tertiary references as applied in the pending Office Action. The *Beyda* reference appears directed to a message processing system that allows a user to create message for delivery to a number of recipients over local area network or wide area network connections. The *Cao* reference is directed to a new feature for email services which provides a telephone call alert to a particular email user when their email server, web page email server, or other email receiving server receives new email addressed to them. The *Moon* reference discloses a mail forwarding system for use in a private network having a server, a fixed computer and a router for connection to a public network external to the private network, all interconnected via a data connection, with the server controlling email resources when email addressed to a user of the fixed computer is received by the private network. The mail forwarding system includes a mail forwarding program operating in the fixed computer for controlling the fixed computer to selectively: (a) retrieve email addressed to

the user of the fixed computer from the private network; and (b) transmit the received email via the router to an assigned address in the public network accessible by a communicator remote to the private network. These references, either alone or in any combination, do not teach or suggest all the limitations of the claims as currently constituted.

Based on the foregoing analysis, it is believed that all pending claims of the present application are in condition for allowance over the applied art of record.

Attorney Docket No.: 1400-1072PC1
Client Reference Number: 10072-US-PCT1

Fee Statement

Compared to the highest number previously paid for, the number of independent claims has not increased and the total number of claims has not increased. Applicant is filing herewith a Petition for a Two-Month Extension of Time. Accordingly, payment via electronic filing is being authorized in the applicable amount(s). Applicant believes no further fees are due for the filing of this response. If any additional fees are due or any overpayments have been made, however, please charge or credit our deposit account (Deposit Account No. 03-1130).

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

In view of the fact that none of the art of the record, whether considered alone or in combination discloses, anticipates or suggests the pending claims, and in further view of the above remarks, reconsideration of the Action and allowance of the present patent application are respectfully requested and are believed to be appropriate.

Dated this 28th day of April, 2008.

Respectfully submitted,

/Shreen K. Danamraj/

Shreen K. Danamraj
Registration No. 41,696

DANAMRAJ & EMANUELSON, P.C.
Premier Place, Suite 1450
5910 North Central Expressway
Dallas, Texas 75206
Tel (214) 750-5666
Fax (214) 363-8177